

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,590 01/16/2001		Kurt M. Kesseler	HMR 2041 US NP1	4289	
5487	7590	07/18/2003			
ROSS J. C			EXAMINER		
AVENTIS ROUTE 20	-	CEUTICALS INC.	CHANG, CELIA C		
MATL CODE: D303A BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1625	
			•	DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/760,590

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Celia Chang

Art Unit

1625

Kresseler

_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
mailing - If the - If NO - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/30/03	declaration .
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tre Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ition of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>10-19</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>10-19</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	Claims	
	ation Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
* S	3. L. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisions	al application has been received.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm	nent(s)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

1. An brief and Declaration were filed in Paper No. 16 and 17 dated June 30, 2003. The rejections and finality of the previous office action were withdrawn based on the newly acquired information. The brief is treated as a response to the previous office action.

Claims 10-19 are pending.

- 2. A newly acquired information on RN 113224-75-2 which is an analogous methanol solvate evidenced that the methanol solvate of the Kim '934 when take it as its face as the title compound methanol would indicate the methanol solvate of the "free base" i.e. the title compound and such solvate does exist in analogous art (see US 4,900,727, col. 5 line 34, with structural delineation from CAS). Therefor the rejection of claims 10-19 over Kim '934 is dropped.
- 3. The newly available information of US 6,576,647 necessitated the following new grounds of rejections.

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the specification no specific definition for "Form II" was made. It is unclear "what" does it mean when the claimed scope is being drawn to "Form II of (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride ethanol solvate" Is it a solvate constituting of (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-

Art Unit: 1625

(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride and solvate or is it a material comprising (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride and ethanol with allowable "other" elements. Please note that in US 6,576,647 which is commonly assigned, it was defined that "Form II" as distinguished from form I is that it is a ethanol/hydrate of (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride, see col. 3 lines 47-50. In addition the '647 ethanol/hydrate "form II" has identical x-ray diffraction pattern and was made by the identical procedure (see columns 6-8 example 4).

If the example 4 of US 6,576,647 is a (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride solvate, then a 102(f) rejection is made in the following. If the example 4 of US 6,576,647 is (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride ethanol hydrate as defined at column 3, then a 112 first paragraph rejection is made in the following.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) as being anticipated by US 6,576,647, see col 8 lines 1-4.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,590

Art Unit: 1625

Please note that the identical solvate was in possession and used by a "different" inventorship.

Claims 10-19 are directed to the same material owned and disclosed by "another" which is commonly assigned. The issue of priority under 35 U.S.C. 102(g) and possibly 35 U.S.C. 102(f) of this single invention must be resolved.

Since the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP § 2302), the assignee is required to state which entity is the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter. A terminal disclaimer has no effect in this situation since the basis for refusing more than one patent is priority of invention under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) and not an extension of monopoly.

Failure to comply with this requirement will result in a holding of abandonment of this application.

Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject **5.** matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

It is evidenced that in US 6,576,647 which is commonly assigned, a product was defined as "Form II" as distinguished from form I is that it is a ethanol/hydrate of (-)cis-2(2chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one

Application/Control Number: 09/760,590 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

hydrochloride, see col. 3 lines 47-50. In addition the said '647 disclosed ethanol/hydrate form II has identical x-ray diffraction pattern and was made by the identical procedure (see columns 6-8 example 4). Therefore, no (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride ethanol solvate per se was made nor was procedure for making the (-)cis-2(2-chlorophenyl)-5,7-dihydroxy-8-[4R-(3S-hydroxy-1-methyl)piperidinyl]-4H-1-benzopyran-4-one hydrochloride ethanol solvate per se.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 703-308-4702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alan Rotman, can be reached on (703) 308-4698. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7922.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

WP/Chang *July 14, 2003*

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625